**NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY**

**College of Marine Sciences**

**Publication Review Feedback Form for Faculty Promotions (Form I)**

Reviewed and approved by the 6th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on April 28, 2015, School Year 103, and effective from the 2nd Semester of School Year 103.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of the promotion candidate** |  | **Current position** | Associate Professor | **Intended level of promotion** | Professor |
| **Representative publications (four articles at maximum)** |  |
| **Highest level of education** |  |
| **List of representative publications submitted for previous levels of faculty promotions** |
| Titles of books (chapters) | Reviewed year & month | Level of review | Approved or disapproved |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Attachments (in addition to the representative publications, please submit a self-evaluation sheet in one A4 paper, a record of each Ministry of Science and Technology award and other awards received, a record or research projects, a list of publications, and teaching information documents) |
| **Items of review** | **Please tick results** |
| 1. Your evaluation on the **quality of the candidate’s research outcomes (artistic demonstrations)** in the same field, on the same position, and in similar universities during the period working in the current position till this time of promotion. Please check one of the boxes on the right, and briefly specify the reasons for your choice (based on content importance and quality of journals, publishers, and conferences)
 | □ (Top 10%)□ (Top 21% – 30%) | □ (Top 11% – 20%)□ (Bottom 69%) |
| Please specify the reasons for the choice:  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Your evaluation on the **amount of the candidate’s research outcomes (artistic demonstrations)** in the same field, on the same position, and in similar universities during the period working in the current position till this time of promotion. Please check one of the boxes on the right, and briefly specify the reasons for your choice (based on journals, publishers, and conferences)
 | □ (Top 10%)□ (Top 21% – 30%) | □ (Top 11% – 20%)□ (Bottom 69%) |
| Please specify the reasons for the choice:  |
| 1. Your evaluation of the applicant’s performance in the relevant domestic professional field compared to experts equivalent to an associate professor. Please check one of the boxes on the right, and briefly specify the reasons for your choice.
 | □ (Top 10%)□ (Top 21% – 30%) | □ (Top 11% – 20%)□ (Bottom 69%) |
| Please specify the reasons for the choice:  |
| 1. Based on this representative publication or other publications of the applicant, what is the applicant’s position in the research field in relation to other researchers? Please check one of the boxes on the right, and briefly specify the reasons for your choice.
 | □ Leading Innovation (Top 10%)□ Following Experts (Top 21% – 30%) | □ Well-Known in the Field (Top 11% – 20%)□ Need to Improve (Bottom 69%) |
| Please specify the reasons for the choice:  |
| 1. Based on the academic achievements of the applicant in his/her current position, what is the likelihood of promotion in your organization unit? Please check one of the boxes on the right, and briefly specify the reasons for your choice.
 | □ Very likely (over 90%)□ Somewhat likely (Top 70% – 79%) | □ Likely (80% –89%)□ Unlikely (lower than 69%) |
| Please specify the reasons for the choice:  |
| 1. Given your experience over the years and based on the given information, what is the potential of the applicant becoming a leader in the relevant field? Please check one of the boxes on the right, and briefly specify the reasons for your choice.
 | □ (Top 10%)□ (Top 21% – 30%) | □ (Top 11% – 20%)□ (Bottom 69%) |
| Please specify the reasons for the choice:  |
| 1. **Overall feedback (please tick and explain in Form II)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate’s research performances among faculty of the same level in the same field | Outstanding(top 10%) | Excellent (11% – 20%) | Good(21% – 30%) | Average (31% – 50%) | Insufficient(bottom 49%) |
| Please tick |  |  |  |  |  |

Note 1: The option “Outstanding” may only be chosen when results for item 3, 4, and 5 are all in the top 10%. The option “Insufficient” shall be chosen when results for item 3, 4, and 5 are all in the bottom 69%. |
| Note 2: The total score of academic research performances, teaching performances, and services for promotions of faculty and researchers of the college is 100 points. For the candidate to pass the review, the minimum total score for external reviews of journal articles, after conversion of points from three reviewers, must be no less than 3.5 points, and the total score of the three aforementioned categories must be no less than 70 points.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Total points of** **external review results** | **External review results of journal articles** | **Points** |
| **Outstanding** | **2** |
| **Excellent** | **1.5** |
| **Merit** | **1** |
| **Average** | **0.5** |
| **Insufficient** | **0** |

 |

Signature of Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Please seal and send to the Dean of the College of Marine Sciences by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (yyyy/mm/dd)

**NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY**

**College of Marine Sciences**

 **Publication Review Feedback Form for Faculty Promotions (Form II)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Promotion Level** | Professor | **Name** |  | **Department** |  |
| **Representative publications** |  |
| Feedbacks of review (if possible, please type out the feedbacks of review): |
|  (Please attach additional paper(s) if the provided space is not enough). |